

→ File  
(Final RPT)

613 Eastlake Drive  
Columbia, MO 65203  
10 March 1989

Department of the Army  
Army Science Board  
Office of the Assistant Secretary  
Washington, DC 20310 - 0103

Subject: Report of the Ad Hoc Sub Group on the M9 Pistol  
Slide Failure Problem

The Ad Hoc Sub Group on the M9 pistol slide failure problem ( Dr. James Durig, Dr. Tito Serafini and I; assisted by Mr. Martin Goland ) met with representatives of the Army in the Pentagon on 22 February 1989. A list of attendees is presented in enclosure 1.

The panel reviewed the specifications and drawings for the pistol and the information and analyses generated by the government Red Team. In addition, several detailed briefings were given to the panel by Army representatives as can be seen from the agenda (enclosure 2).

As a result of these activities we have reached the following conclusions:

1. The root cause of the slide failure is almost certainly a materials problem not an ammunition problem.
2. The basic materials problem is a lack of fracture toughness which we suspect is caused by improper heat treatment but minor constituent compositions and sulphide inclusion morphology might also be contributors. To further reduce this residual uncertainty we have requested that the Army conduct additional tests on several different material lots at temperatures above, below and at those now specified to attempt to reproduce the slide failure mechanisms. We believe that, if these tests reproduce the failure modes, that the cause will be unambiguously determined.
3. The panel concurs with the Army representatives that the present material may not be the best choice from the standpoint of annealing for maximum fracture toughness and recommends that consideration be given to a different material on future procurements, even if the heat treatment modifications to the current production is successful.
4. We concur with the Army that a slide capture mechanism should be incorporated into the pistol design and believe that the hammer pin modification along with a clearance slot in the slide is a reasonable approach. We do

think some further assessment, on the probability that this modification will provide the desired degree of safety and on whether slight modifications to the receiver rails to increase their strength and to reduce the sharp corner between the rail and the body, is desirable.

5. We also concur that increasing the radius of the locking block slot is a prudent modification because this will certainly reduce the stress concentration at this critical point. The slight increase required in the left slide rail thickness seems to be an acceptable penalty to pay for this approach.

5. Even after these 'fixes' are made it will be necessary to inspect the slides at some prescribed interval based upon the number of rounds fired with the slide. For this reason and for an assist in case of some future problem of a similar type, it would seem prudent for the Army to incorporate an identifying number into the slide part number so that individual slides could be tracked through both their manufacture and use, providing that this step would not incur a prohibitive cost penalty.

The panel is unanimous in its belief that the Army investigation has been carried out in a truly professional and competent manner. The personnel involved deserve special commendation for their efforts.

For the panel,

  
William M. Hubbard

AGENDA

BRIEFING TO ARMY SCIENCE BOARD AD-HOC SUB GROUP  
ON M9 SLIDE FAILURE PROBLEMS

FEBRUARY 22, 1989

ATTENDANCE

ARMY SCIENCE BOARD AD-HOC SUB GROUP

ON

M9 SLIDE FAILURE INVESTIGATION

22 FEBRUARY 1989

NAME	ORGANIZATION	PHONE
Robert J. McHugh	US Army, ARDEC	(201)724-7036
John H. Underwood	US Army, ARDEC	(518)266-5414
Karl F. Lukens	US Army, ARDEC	(201)724-5751
James F. Reinhold	US Army, ARDEC	(201)724-5816
James V. Rinndvatore	US Army, ARDEC	(201)724-5813
Tito T. Serafini	ASB (TRW)	(213)814-0404
W. M. Hubbard	ASB	(314)445-1237
Martin Goland	SWRI	(512)684-5111
E. Carroll Gagnon	Prog Ex Office-Armaments	(201)724-7115
James Hettel	US Army, ARDEC	(201)724-6949
Frank P. Puzycki	US Army, ARDEC	(201)724-6088
Kevin Hayes	US Army, ARDEC	(201)724-6497
Lyle Miller	US Army, ARDEC-Rock Is.	(309)782-4894
Richard G. Audette	US Army, ARDEC-Ft. Dix	(609)562-6940
Augustine E. Magistro	US Army, ARDEC-Red Team	(201)724-6044
Augustine Funcasta	US Army, ARDEC	(201)724-6981
Leonard Cichu <del>z</del> ki	US Army AMCCOM	(201)724-5401
Joseph F. Allison	ASA(RDA) SARD-PC	(202)695-6469
James R. Durig	Army Science Board	(803)777-2505
COL Richard C. Williams	PM 9mm Pistol Program	(309)782-3895

encl (1)

AGENDA

BRIEFING TO ARMY SCIENCE BOARD AD HOC SUB GROUP  
ON M9 SLIDE FAILURE PROBLEMS

FEBRUARY 22, 1989

- | AGENDA ITEM                                | PRESENTER               | TIME      |
|--|-------------------------|-----------|
| o PROGRAM BACKGROUND                       | COL Richard C. Williams | 0800-0840 |
| - Introduction                             |                         |           |
| - Terms of Reference                       |                         |           |
| - Discussion of Agenda                     |                         |           |
| - Slide Performance                        |                         |           |
| o AMMUNITION BACKGROUND                    | James W. Hettel         | 0840-0900 |
| - Prototype Cartridge Development          |                         |           |
| - M9/M882 Initial Production Tests         |                         |           |
| - NATO Qualification                       |                         |           |
| - Barrel Annular Ringing                   |                         |           |
| BREAK                                      |                         | 0900-0910 |
| o WEAPON OPERATION AND SLIDE FAILURES      | Augustine Funcasta      | 0910-0925 |
| o ENGINEERING/METALLURGICAL INVESTIGATIONS |                         | 0925-1035 |
| - Ammunition Review                        | Kevin Hayes             |           |
| - Slide Compliance with Specifications     | Leonard Cichucki        |           |
| - Metallurgical Evaluation                 | Dr. Karl Lukens         |           |
| - Fracture Mechanics Evaluation            | John H. Underwood       |           |

o CORRECTIVE ACTIONS

1035-1145

- Slide Capture Device Richard G. Audette
- Heat Treatment Vincent Minetti
- In-Process Controls John H. Underwood
- Charpy Toughness Specification John H. Underwood
- Preliminary Assessment of Increased Radius Richard G. Audette
- Future Investigations

o SUMMARY

COL Richard C. Williams

1145-1150

LUNCH

1150-1300

GENERAL DISCUSSIONS

1300-1400

BREAK

1400-1410

EXECUTIVE SESSION

1410-END

AGENDA

BRIEFING TO ARMY SCIENCE BOARD

AD HOC SUB GROUP ON M9 PISTOL SLIDE FAILURE PROBLEMS

22 FEB 89

PROGRAM BACKGROUND

0800-0840

Congressional Direction  
Deputy Secretary of Defense Direction  
Joint Service Operational Requirement  
1984 Competition  
Production Specification  
Contract Requirements  
First Article Test in (Italy) 1985  
Extended Life Safety Test 1985

BREAK

0840-0850

AMMUNITION BACKGROUND

0850-0930

Prototype Development  
Production Specification  
NATO Qualification Procedure  
CONUS First Article Pistol Testing  
Barrel Ringing  
Beretta Position on Ammunition

BREAK

0930-0945

SLIDE FAILURES

0945-1145

ENGINEERING REVIEWS

0945-1045

Slide Compliance With Specifications  
Ammunition Review  
Metallurgical Evaluation  
Locking Block Radius

CORRECTIVE ACTIONS

1045-1145

Safety of Use Messages  
Slide Capture Device  
Alternative Materiels  
Heat Treat Study  
Improved Radius

LUNCH

1145-1300

GENERAL DISCUSSIONS

1300-1400

BREAK

1400-1410

EXECUTIVE SESSION

1410-END

9MM PISTOL INFORMATION PACKAGE  
INDEX

Joint Service Operational Requirement (JSOR)	TAB A
Request for Test Samples	TAB B
Military Specification, Pistol, 9mm: M9	TAB C
Standardization Agreement No. 4090	TAB D
Slide Drawings	TAB E
Quality Assurance Provisions	TAB F
Program Master Plan for Slide Investigation	TAB G
ARDEC Weekly Significant Activity Reports	TAB H
Safety Of Use Messages	TAB I
1. 011515Z Mar 88 Safety of Use Message	
2. 201635Z Mar 88 Safety of Use Message	
M9 Pistol Review Board Report - Slide Failure	TAB J
Second Draft Red Team Summary	TAB K
Briefings to CG, AMCCOM	TAB L
1. 19 Jan 89 Fielding/Slide Status	
2. 07 Oct 88 Slide Capture Mechanism	
3. 04 Aug 88 Slide Fix Implementation	
4. 12 Feb 88 Safety Concerns	
Metallurgical Reports from ARDEC	TAB M
1. Failure Analysis of Slide	
2. Transmission Electron Microscopy Study of Austempered 8640 Steel	
3. Metallurgical Examination of Slide Failure	
4. Preliminary Assessment of Fracture Properties	

9MM PISTOL INFORMATION PACKAGE  
INDEX  
CONTINUED

GAO Report	TAB N
Point Counterpoint to Beretta USA's "92F Facts"	TAB O
Slide Life Internal Memos	TAB P
1. Increasing M9 Pistol Slide Life	
2. Review of M9 Pistol Slide Design and the Material Use in Manufacturing the Slide	
M9 Slide Investigation (Dausman Briefing)	TAB Q
AMSAA Evaluation of M9 Slide Modification Adverse Condition Retest	TAB R
Engineering Change Proposal (ECP) Slide Retention Device	TAB S
Salient Issues Addressed During 19 - 20 Jan 89 Meeting on M9 Pistol	TAB T
M9 Slide Study Summary	TAB U
Final Report of Slide Capture Mechanism Design Validation Test for Pistol	TAB V